**CONFIDENTIAL**

**EVALUATION OF UNIVERSITY RESEARCH GRANTS- 2018**

**University of Sri Jayewardenepura**

Date submitted for evaluation:

Date returned after evaluation:

Name of Evaluator:

Project Title:

Please tick relevant cage. Any specific comments to the applicant and/or suggestion for modification can be made relevant cages**.**

1. **Rationale for doing the research and analysis of the problems (background and justification):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Good |  |
| Needs improvements  |  |

1. **Relevance of objectives and hypothesis to be tested:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Relevant and clear |  |
| Not relevant  |  |

1. **Experimental designs:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Acceptable |  |
| Needs modification |  |
| Revise |  |

(\*If modification is proposed please give reasons and your proposed designs)

1. **Statistical analysis:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Adequate |  |
| Inadequate |  |
| Not suitable |  |

(If inadequate / not suitable please give reasons and proposed statistical design)

1. **Relevance of location and methodologies for experimentation/ collection of data:**
2. **Location:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Suitable  |  |
| Not suitable |  |

(If not suitable please give reasons and suggest suitable locations)

1. **Methodologies:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Adequate |  |
| Inadequate |  |
| Need modification  |  |

(If inadequate or need modification please give reasons to time and suggest methodologies)

1. **Feasibility of the proposal in relation to time and resources requested:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Feasible within given time period |  |
| Require more time  |  |
| Can be done within a shorter time period  |  |
| Resources adequate |  |
| Resources inadequate  |  |

(If inadequate please give reasons and suggest additional resources)

1. **Ethical consideration:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ethically acceptable  |  |
| Ethical clearance obtained  |  |
| Application submitted for ethical clearance |  |
| Ethically not acceptable  |  |

1. **Considerations to environmental safety (if applicable only)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Environmentally safe |  |
| Environmentally not safe  |  |
| Need modification |  |
| Not applicable |  |

1. **Relevance / Justification of requested budget items: (Very Important)**
2. **Personnel**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  Adequate |  |
|  Inadequate  |  |
|  Need further justification  |  |

1. **Equipment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  Adequate |  |
|  Inadequate |  |
|  Need further justification |  |
|  Over estimated |  |

1. **Consumables**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Adequate |  |
| Inadequate |  |
| Need further justification |  |
| Over estimated |  |

VI. **Travel/ Subsistence**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Adequate |  |
| Inadequate |  |
| Need further justification |  |
| Over estimated |  |

v. **Miscellaneous**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Adequate |  |
| Inadequate |  |
| Need further justification  |  |
| Over estimated |  |

1. **Additional Comments, Suggestions and Responses**

Kindly include the item number to which you provide a comment/suggestion or response.

Kindly evaluate the project according to the following marking scheme.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Factor** | **Maximum Limit of Points** | **Total** |
| 1. Scientific merit of the study
 | 50 |  |
| 1. The relevance of the study to national development
 | 50 |  |
| 1. Its contribution to strengthening the capacity of science and technology in the country
 | 50 |  |
| 1. Potential of the research findings to foster research & development relations between the University and the relevant Industry
 | 50 |  |
| 1. Possibility of research findings being published in international journals
 | 50 |  |
| **Total Score** |  |  |

1. **Recommendation:**

Proposal **RECOMMENDED** for support

Proposal **RECOMMENDED** subject to minor modifications as stated

Proposa**l RECOMMENDED** resubmission with modifications

Proposal **NOT RECOMMENDED** for support.

**Please provide justification if the project is not recommended for support.**

For the Research Committee Use only

**Evaluation of the Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Marks/Comment |
| Scientific Merit\* |  |
| Ethical considerations\*\* |  |
| Budget\*\*\* |  |

\*Scientific Merit - Average of total marks given by the 2 reviewers as a percentage

\*\*Needs ethics committee approval / Does not need ethics committee approval /Ethics approval is already granted

\*\*\* Budgets is justifiable/ Needed revision/ Revised budget approved / Cannot be approved